

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,443	03/29/2002	Akio Satou	12412/1	6966
7590 02/09/2004		EXAMINER		
Charles R Brainard			JOHNSON, JONATHAN J	
Kenyon & Kenyon One Broadway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY	10004		1725	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. SATOU ET AL. 10/089.443 Advisory Action Art Unit Examiner 1725 Jonathan Johnson -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 7 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) _ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ___

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected:

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

10. Other:

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's proposed amendment changes the th scope of dependent claims and presents new combinations which would require further consideration and/or search. Applicant argues that Krause et al. does not teach shaping the laser beams such that laser beams with which the part to be processed is irradiated in its widthwise marginal portions exhibit a higher intensity than laser beams to which the part to be processed is irradiated in its widthwise central portion. The examiner disagrees. As stated in the previous office action, Krause et al. teach shaping the laser beams such that laser beams with which the part to be processed is irradiated in its widthwise marginal portions exhibit a higher intensity than laser beams to which the part to be processed is irradiated in its widthwise central portion (Figure 11a, Item 36). The rejection is maintained despite applicant's traversal.

98

TOM DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700